

Statements On The Dialectice 1776, Thomas Paine, And Marxism

By Kevin A. Sensenig
Draft 1.01
2022 January 4

INTRODUCTION

Here I have a set of ideas. In three parts. -- kas

PART ONE

- - - - -
I have this idea for a while and still unfolding, tccc -- te compute center Constitution -- and it's a textual dimension read that is dynamite, works with ideas out there, and has its own innovations USA Patriots like i think. Over time, I'll point out more, here, some highlights, and as of now some of the material is online at the above website. Visualization and terms matter.

One more thing having to do with Rick Scott's note below. I think there's a significant meme mistake on most expressions of Marxism and their Communist interpretations. Think intra-State, inter-State, intra-national, international, and the processes and results within. Also, significant, the concept integration and systems. In his Critique of Hegel's Philosophy Of Right, Marx notes that the sovereign state is explicit in its determination, and is also manifest in the individual power of such. He was concerned with the individual, the state is, and so (I suspect) are Communist nations. The meme of aversion is all wrong; it's another determination of right. Also, note the 'explicit', 'the totality of life and systems', and 'integration' -- to hit upon these as a document unfolding as a Trump-era GOP is perhaps key, I feel. Citing the fife and drum and Spirit and Revolution of 1776 to tccc and other textual reads to the US Constitution, integration with the best of the States' Constitutions, current and the best of sound values spanning centuries, and ancient and modern thought, as the Framers did in their processes. This sort of Key Document also gets out of the "3 issues of the day, bitter politics only, and fake News Media" domain. Trump and Teams are perfect to step to this, and already have such an embedded models of things in their minds already.

Right, rights, right to rights, the States, the federal, the state. The 'We The People'. The Free talking in Liberation terms also. (GOP and CPC, e.g.).

This is a distinctive angle and more over time. It opens up the whole domain to integration, rights, and span, in a Trump-era US SC Justice and like team preceding sense and tenor, and in proactive bills like Economic Opportunity Zones and Tax Reform (2018), The First Step Act (2018, social justice), a productive US Congress, and Phase One with China; and a return to sound analytics and freedom (in its fundamental sense) rather than a clinical medical model as replacing Freedom America and 1776 and its attempt to replacethe American Indian with its clinical medical model disaster (the psychiatry, and the drastic anti-analytic response to COVID). And sound Trump-era regulations and redefinition, and his increasing over time statesmanship with retaining dynamic intelligence and creativity that is all his.

Liberals are not Marxist, although some on the left align with the Democratic Party. LBJ and the Vietnam War were liberals, Democrats. Now we have inflation and retain high- stress tensions with China under Biden. Religion and Sound Philosophy are both key. Scope, etching, merit, dialectic with the material, the market place of knowledge, the state, the individual, the People, We The People, etc.; the etched and

dimension. :-) Thank you so much for your time, and more clarification over time!
Feel free to ask for any clarification or further thoughts.

Te Zen Marxist Thomas Paine 1776 USA!

Kevin A. Sensenig

PA, US

PART TWO

Team,

I can't afford to give anything right now. So I'll stick to potential insight.

A few key ideas from my perspective. For you and the GOP.

1) One of the themes of the Democrats (up to the hierarchy) is to get the 'clinical medical model as foundation for all that is 1776, freedom, and liberty -- and the basis for all life' theme (my term) into full effect. Ouch Ouch Ouch and To Be Denied and Refuted.

To wit:

a) right to abortion: the clinical medical as what is instead the natural unfolding of human life and so forth that leads to Rights and so forth spoken of in the Declaration; and makes religion so preeminent and philosophy of a participant nature also. b) the biogenetic psychiatric model so prevalent whereby somehow one can become somewhat or seriously mentally ill, a permanent biogenetic malfunction, and never recover or reorient or explain or justify -- and sans merit on the table, in a fully intentional manner. It is exploitative, expensive, and denies as much as it can its "patients" (should read: clients) rights, Right, and natural unfolding; and it denies its clients right to discuss in everyday terms and in dialectic with the material and in joining or rejoining the marketplace of knowledge. 'Behavioral Health' is a term that can be somewhat sinister, or can point to: please discuss the exoteric (and intention and situation) -- yet their theory is hidden, key items and so forth are intentionally NOT discussed, and it is anti-just anti-due process, the legal underpinnings; c) the drastic and counter-actual response to COVID-19 and its lockdown of the *economy* under guise of the clinical medical model, whereas the free markets and normal social interactions plus were the answer, with some precautions per category of people and gathering. This is an important theme I feel for the GOP to hit home with, these 3 examples and the concept to make clear what is one underpinning for the Democrat National Party is 'the clinical medical model as fundamental for 1776 thru to all of life ...' and its vast implications.

2. I think a scrutiny of the economy in terms of layers and regions and category and type of these is necessary. There is the term implied I feel in the US Constitution 'capital productivity' -- and also 'capital crime' is explicitly termed (and capital crime refers to capital; other infamous crimes refers to just that). There is Right to Life, Liberty, and The Pursuit of Happiness -- for each individual and subgroup that is Right-minded and free. And all are afforded this opportunity. Thus, the right to a dwelling, a groceries, a way to do decently well with resource sufficient; by stipend or financial resources such as employment; and per one's own efforts. This is a tccc (my term for 'Te Compute Center Constitution' a textual dimension read).

More over time, and some of this is available at www.tpdrcouga.com , my own website,

self-published. The Aristotle and the Thomas Paine and the Marvin Minsky and their thoughts did NOT need a clinical medical model as basis for all -- but sound medicine where apropos, and their own lives and fundamentals and thoughts and societies, and 'all of the above' (my term). Sound logic, perceptive reasoning, and esprit.

Sincerely,

Your Marxist Thomas Paine 1776 'All Of The Above' from Ancient And Modern Thought (That Is Sound), Dialogue, Aware, USA<->World, Registered Republican (!) :-)

Kevin A. Sensenig

Further notes and ideas to spell more out over time, for this or that esp. GOP. Plus, I am still extending and deepening my view. Feel free to contact me or to offer any questions or ideas to work on. Thanks!

NOTE I voted for Trump-Pence-GOP in 2020 (First Step Act, 2018 Tax Reform And Economic Opportunity Zones bill, environmental initiatives of some GOP over the years, a robust economy, apparently sound de- and re-regulation, productive results with the GOP/Ryan/Mitchell Congress, his ever-better statesmanship over time yet retaining his dynamic intelligence, 3 meetings with DPRK Premier Kim Un Jong, getting out of Syria in sane results, decrementing our presence in Afghanistan, Phase One with China, etc. I would have from 2016 *emphasized* diverse nationalities in the US, not negative, a different yet non-D tack at the Mexico border, and pivoted to the phrase (mine) 'inter-States, intra-States, inter-National, intra-National' for better concept and awareness (particularly than what Sec State Pompeo had to offer)... but Trump is definitional.) A 'Key Document' would be ideal for the GOP -- to carry this definitional PLUS forward, citing ancient, American, and Modern documents and ideas -- as te Framers did in their time. Some edits to the current stance, the best of illumination, and so forth. All te best. -- Kevin

PART THREE

- - - - -

Oh!

Team,

The GOP would do well to and needs to factor out the following:

- > the liberal view
- > the national socialist view
- > the left view (freedom or liberation)
- > the right view (freedom or liberty)
- > Communist Nations
- > Democratic, Republic, and what it means in various expressions (from USA to GDR to DPRK to PRC).

Do this term by term, phrase by phrase, use checkboxes and etched analysis. Givens, states of argument, and step by step or referential logic. I'll come up with my own example later. Also, 1) source documents are important; 2) memes should be set to these in juxtaposition; 3) Communist Nations have dynamic, low key, and discipline, and are well-thought out, it is my view, to this or that type or degree or development; there may be shortcomings; but they do not need Obama-Pelosi discord nor 29 trillion in debt; and they need mutual benefit; 4) liberals and national socialist views may or may not coincide; 5) there are many grassroots voters who vote Democrat at times or as a rule that have ideals, and see whether these ideals reach the hierarchy or not (I feel that they often do not, in effect or in subtlety). But see what you get. Take time on this. Apply your own tools and means, and set this also,

and see what you get.

Note: national socialism has the following nature, in part: the nationally developed *idea* at only the national level (see also Marx and his analysis of the monarchy and its never-ending vague somehow inherited *idea* in his Critique Of Hegel's Philosophy Of Right) is then pre-scripted for each individual and entity, such that it's checkboxes for any and all otherwise Liberty and Freedom and Liberation thought, speech, action, and assembly (of people, ideas, and things -- see tccc). In Communism, it is discipline and graduation on merit to and via the Party, to state and the same matters at state that the people deal with everyday -- that both the state (sovereign) and the people (liberation) explicitly reason, discipline, and work out. This is also the nature of Thomas Paine (and I've only just part through his work) and the initial paragraphs in the Declaration Of Independence; and its own USA American characteristics. We were to be fully familiar with the same knowledge of the electors and the representatives; and these in turn were to integrate, nondual, being wholly familiar with the idea of a completely functional and productive and Free and Just and Rights and Liberty society (and state). The total picture, for both Communist Nations and the USA. However, the political and government at the national level is fragmented; the GOP needs to factor some things different, and to integrate. The Democratic Party hierarchy can pivot, but it so far is incapable of this. It does not retain some of the fundamentals, I feel. I do feel for some time there is more dialectic and dialogue between hard left and the GOP, if given the chance at this rather than ruinous inaccurate meme and anti- and divisive opponent. I even go beyond this.

Feel free to share with GOP and like esprit colleagues, Free and Liberty and Just and Rights. And, I feel, consistent with Liberation and its mindset.

Thank You!

Kevin A. Sensenig

PA, USA

P.S. 1776 Thomas Paine and Marx, and TCCC (Te Compute Center Constitution, a Rights and Freedom document, a textual dimension read) ... and The Declaration first paragraphs! And meticulous esprit work. The Spirit Of 1776 and Marx, a dialectic. We'll see! Allow me time to develop this. In the meantime, I hope these comments of mine help you out, and help to break a decades- and centuries- long logjam in American politics and governing and state -- and the people as We The People. All the best -- Kevin